

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0699-02  
Bill No.: SB 512  
Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary: Charter Schools  
Type: Original  
Date: March 5, 2001

---

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>
General Revenue	(\$118,040 to Unknown)	(\$136,741 to Unknown)	(\$140,194 to Unknown)
Charter School Sponsor Oversight and Accountability	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds</b>	<b>(\$118,040 to Unknown)</b>	<b>(\$136,741 to Unknown)</b>	<b>(\$140,194 to Unknown)</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
<b>FUND AFFECTED</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>	<b>FY 2004</b>
<b>Charter Schools</b>	<b>\$0 to Unknown</b>	<b>\$0 to Unknown</b>	<b>\$0 to Unknown</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

---

## **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials of the **Office of the State Treasurer** indicated that their office would not require additional resources due to this proposal.

Officials of the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri State Highway Patrol**, in responses to similar proposals, indicated that the proposal would not require additional resources for their agency.

The **Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement (JCP)** has reviewed this proposal and has determined an actuarial study is not needed under the provisions of section 105.660, subdivision (5).

Officials from the **Public School Retirement System (PSR) and Non-Teacher School Employee Retirement System** stated that due to the unclear language in the proposal, they are uncertain if the proposal would apply to their systems because they do not know if the proposal would allow charter schools in PSR districts.

Officials of the **Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis** indicated that the proposal could result in "modest" cost savings to the System because costs related to re-employment of retired members would be borne by the re-employing school district.

Officials from the **Secretary of State's Office (SOS)** assumed the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Coordinating Board for Higher Education could require as many as 26 pages in the *Code of State Regulations*. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the *Missouri Register* as in the Code because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in the Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the *Missouri Register* is \$23. The estimated cost of a page in the *Code of State Regulations* is \$27. The actual costs could be more or less the SOS's estimated cost of \$1,599 for FY 2002. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules, filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

**Oversight** notes that the amount of money transferred to the Charter School Sponsor Oversight  
**ASSUMPTION** (continued)

and Accountability Fund would vary with the number of charter schools, the number of charter school students and the revenue per pupil in districts which have charter schools and with the amount the General Assembly would choose to appropriate. For fiscal note purposes the amount of cost to the General Revenue Fund and income to the Charter School Sponsor Oversight and Accountability Fund will be shown as "Unknown".

(However, there were nineteen charter schools approved RFP grant recipients for 2000-2001 and the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education reported 5,882 students in St. Louis charter schools and 5,381 in Kansas City charter schools. Revenue per pupil was for 1997-1998, according to a 1999 Department of Elementary and Secondary Education equity study, \$6,427 in Kansas City and \$5,292 in St. Louis.  $19 \text{ schools} \times \$23,400 = \$444,600$ .  $5,882 \text{ students} \times (\$5,292 \times .005) = \$155,638$ .  $5,381 \text{ students} \times (\$6,427 \times .005) = \$172,981$ .  $\$444,600 + \$155,638 + \$172,981 = \$773,156$ . This would be the approximate amount which might have been appropriated if the proposal were in effect.)

Officials of the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** would request a Supervisor to handle charters if the State Board of Education were to suspend sponsors and to track deadlines for applications which are outlined in the proposal.

Officials of the **Department of Higher Education** would request a Senior Associate to establish and administer the grant program regarding reimbursement of costs associated with sponsoring charter schools.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
<u>Cost - Department of Higher Education</u> (CBH)			
Personal Service (1 FTE)	(\$38,438)	(\$47,278)	(\$48,460)
Fringe Benefits	(\$12,811)	(\$15,758)	(\$16,152)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$3,700)</u>	<u>(\$721)</u>	<u>(\$743)</u>
Administrative Cost to CBH	(\$54,949)	(\$63,757)	(\$65,355)
<u>Cost - Department of Elementary and</u> <u>Secondary Education (DES)</u>			
Personal Service (1 FTE)	(\$40,672)	(\$50,027)	(\$51,277)
Fringe Benefits	(\$13,556)	(\$16,674)	(\$17,091)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$8,863)</u>	<u>(\$6,283)</u>	<u>(\$6,471)</u>
Administrative Cost to DES	(\$63,091)	(\$72,984)	(\$74,839)
<u>Cost - Appropriation to Charter School</u> <u>Sponsor Oversight and Accountability</u> <u>Fund</u>	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>	<b><u>(\$118,040 to Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$136,741 to Unknown)</u></b>	<b><u>(\$140,194 to Unknown)</u></b>

**CHARTER SCHOOL SPONSOR  
OVERSIGHT AND  
ACCOUNTABILITY FUND**

<u>Income</u> - Transfers from General Revenue Fund	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Costs</u> - Grants to Charter School Sponsors	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CHARTER SCHOOL SPONSOR OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY FUND</b>	<b><u>\$0 to Unknown</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to Unknown</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to Unknown</u></b>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
<b>CHARTER SCHOOL SPONSORS</b>			
<u>Income</u> - Grants	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON CHARTER SCHOOL SPONSORS</b>	<b><u>\$0 to Unknown</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to Unknown</u></b>	<b><u>\$0 to Unknown</u></b>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would revise laws governing charter schools. Among provisions which might cause fiscal impact, it would:

1) allow the operation of charter schools in territories where they are currently authorized, even if the school districts currently comprising those territories cease to exist or cease to operate in those territories;

2) allow the Kansas City School District to re-employ retired teachers, who would not lose

DESCRIPTION (continued)

retirement benefits (under current law, the St. Louis City School District may do this);

3) require school districts which re-employ retired teachers to pay the actuarial cost of re-employment to the affect retirement system (the retirement system would certify that cost to the hiring school district);

4) require urban school districts (the Kansas City School District) to lease buildings to charter schools on substantially equivalent terms and at substantially equivalent prices and to negotiate in good faith fair market prices for vacant properties and make them available for lease to charter schools within the districts (this provision would not affect any contracts in effect on or before January 1, 2001);

5) revise the procedures and time frames for chartering schools (including appeals of denials of charters to the State Board of Education);

6) create a Charter School Sponsor Oversight Fund, administered by the Coordinating Board for Higher Education, which would provide grants to sponsors of charter schools to offset the costs of sponsorship and establish a formula for appropriations to the Fund; and

7) require charter schools to publish audit and annual financial reports under terms of chapter 165; require charter school sponsors to perform criminal background checks on prospective board members and to take reasonable steps needed to confirm sponsored charter schools are operating in conformity with their charters and sections 160.400 to 160.420; make charter school board members subject to the same liability for official acts as members of public school boards.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. The proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education  
Department of Higher Education  
Secretary of State  
State Treasurer  
Public School Retirement System  
Non-Teacher School Employee Retirement System  
Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement  
Department of Public Safety - Highway Patrol

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Continued)

Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis

NOT RESPONDING: Public School Retirement System of Kansas City; St. Louis Public

Schools; Kansas City Public School Board

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jeanne Jarrett". The signature is stylized with a large initial "J" and a cursive "e" at the end.

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA  
Director  
March 5, 2001